	Case 2:20-cv-00101-TLN-EFB Documer	nt 15	Filed 04/30/20	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	DONALD JOSHUA SMITH,	N	o. 2:20-cv-101-Tl	LN-EFB P	
12	Plaintiff,				
13	v.	<u>O</u>	<u>RDER</u>		
14	DHARMYIR SINGH, et al.,				
15	Defendants.				
16		]			
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42				
18	U.S.C. § 1983. He requests that the court appoint counsel. District courts lack authority to				
19	require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in section 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States				
20	Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In exceptional circumstances, the court may request an				
21	attorney to voluntarily to represent such a plaintiff. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1); Terrell v.				
22	Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th				
23	Cir. 1990). When determining whether "exceptional circumstances" exist, the court must				
24	consider the likelihood of success on the merits as well as the ability of the plaintiff to articulate				
25	his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. <i>Palmer v. Valdez</i> , 560				
26	F.3d 965, 970 (9th Cir. 2009). Having considered those factors, the court finds there are no				
27	exceptional circumstances in this case.				
28	/////	1			
	i de la companya de				

## Case 2:20-cv-00101-TLN-EFB Document 15 Filed 04/30/20 Page 2 of 2

1	Accordingly, IT IS HERE	BY ORDERED that plaintiff's request for appointment of
2	counsel (ECF No. 14) is denied.	
3	DATED: April 30, 2020.	Elmund F. Bieman
4 5		EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17 18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		